

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

AUMINTRIUS DAMOUR GUNN,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
R. OLMSTEAD, et al.,  
Defendants.

No. 2:20-cv-2232 WBS AC P

ORDER

By order filed November 10, 2021, this court ordered plaintiff to complete and return the paperwork necessary to effect service on defendant Garcia. ECF No. 16. After the thirty-day deadline passed without a response, plaintiff was given an additional fourteen days to return the required paperwork and he was warned that failure to do so would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed without further warning. ECF No. 17. The fourteen-day period has now passed, and plaintiff has not provided the required paperwork or otherwise responded to the court's order. Accordingly, no defendant has been served.<sup>1</sup> It further appears that plaintiff has abandoned his case.


Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

---

<sup>1</sup> The undersigned previously recommended that all other defendants be dismissed, ECF No. 16, and those findings and recommendations have since been adopted in full. ECF No. 18.

1           These findings and recommendations will be submitted to the United States District Judge  
2 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days  
3 after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections  
4 with the court. The document should be captioned “Objections to Findings and  
5 Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time  
6 may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th  
7 Cir. 1991).

8 DATED: January 13, 2022

9   
10 ALLISON CLAIRE  
11 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28